Agônios, Agônarchos, Agônistêrion: THREE WORDS ALLEGEDLY FORMED FROM Agôn, "ASSEMBLY"

JAMES DENNIS ELLSWORTH

University of Hawaii

It is generally held by most scholars that the word $\partial \gamma \dot{\omega} \nu$ has the meaning "assembly, gathering" in Homer and Hesiod; this meaning is also said to occur a few times in the classical period as an archaic usage or "Homerism." There are also three words formed from $\partial \gamma \dot{\omega} \nu$ which are said to retain the archaic meaning "assembly" after the epic period: the derivative $\partial \gamma \dot{\omega} \nu \iota \iota \iota \iota \iota$ in the phrase $\partial \gamma \dot{\omega} \nu \iota \iota \iota \iota$ in Aeschylus, the compound $\partial \gamma \dot{\omega} \nu \iota \iota \iota \iota$ in the Boeotian dialect, and the derivative $\partial \gamma \omega \nu \iota \iota \iota \iota$ in Aristides. The purpose of this paper is to examine these words in their context and to determine if they actually do contain an archaic meaning of $\partial \gamma \dot{\omega} \nu$, "assembly."

Elsewhere I have argued that $d\gamma\omega\nu$ never has the meaning "assembly" in general.² If this view is correct, it would be a strong indication that any word formed from $d\gamma\omega\nu$ would not contain the meaning "assembly;" the conclusions expressed in the present article, however, are not dependent on this view, and are meant to be judged on their own merits.

Άγώνιοι θεοί

The phrase $\dot{\alpha}\gamma\dot{\omega}\nu\imath\omega\imath$ $\theta\epsilon\omega\dot{\imath}$ occurs once in Aeschylus' Agamemnon, and four times in his Suppliants.

Aesch. Agam. 513. In the Agamemnon, the herald of the returning

- ¹ See LSJ, s.v. ἀγών I 1, "gathering, assembly." On ἀγών in epic, see the article by H. J. Mette in LfgrE 134–36; on ἀγών as a "Homerism" in the classical period, see E. Fraenkel, Aeschylus: Agamemnon II (Oxford 1950) 260–63.
- ² J. D. Ellsworth, Agôn: Studies in the Use of a Word (Diss., Berkeley 1971) 7-23, 137-216 (the present article is a revision of pp. 264-86); and " $A\Gamma\Omega N NE\Omega N$: An Unrecognized Metaphor in the Iliad," CP 69 (1974) 258-64.

army, upon his arrival at Argos, opens his speech with a long invocation of the gods (503–17). In turn, the herald calls upon the Argive land, the light of the sun, Zeus, Apollo, $\tau o \dot{v}s$ $\dot{a}\gamma \omega \nu i o v s$ $\dot{a}\gamma \omega i o v s$ $\dot{a}\gamma \omega \nu i o v s$ $\dot{a}\gamma \omega \nu i o v s$ $\dot{a}\gamma \omega \nu i o v$

Several interpretations of the phrase $\partial \gamma \omega \nu i o v s$ have been offered by editors and commentators. Early editors preferred meanings of $\partial \gamma \omega \nu i o v s$ which were current in the fifth century. Stanley, for example, relying on Hesychius' definition of $\partial \gamma \omega \nu i o v \partial \tau i v \partial v \partial \tau i v \partial$

More recent opinion, however, has favored giving the word $\partial \gamma \omega \nu i \partial v s$ a meaning associated with the archaic meaning of $\partial \gamma \omega \nu i \omega s$, "assembly." This interpretation has been influenced by a comment in Schol. T (Eust.) on Hom. Il. 24.1: $\kappa \alpha i \partial \gamma \omega \nu i \partial v s \partial \epsilon o \partial s \partial \partial \epsilon o \partial s \partial \epsilon o \partial$

- ³ Stanley is quoted in S. Butler, Aeschyli tragoediae quae supersunt (Cambridge 1809–1815) IV 203. Other editors adopting this interpretation include Porson (1794), Blomfield (1823), and Klausen-Enger (1863). Cf. also LSJ, s.v. ἀγώνιος I, "either gods in assembly, or the gods who presided over the great games (Zeus, Poseidon, Apollo, and Hermes), = ἀγοραῖοι, Eust. 1335.58." The citations for this entry are Aesch. Agam. 513, Supp. 189, 242; Pl. Leg. 783a7; ἀγώνιοι θεοί in the last citation is usually thought to mean only "gods of the games," cf. D. F. Ast, Lexicon Platonicum sive vocum Platonicarum index (Leipzig 1835–1838) s.v. ἀγώνιος, "ad certamen pertinens; unde θεοὶ ἀγώνιοι, certaminum praesides."
- ⁴ On ἀγώνιος: Pind. Ol. 10.63, Isth. 1.60, 5.7, 9.8; cf. fr. 107a.1-3 and P. Oxy. 2621 fr. 7.12; Eur. Alex. 13 (Page, Select Papyri III: Literary Papyri, Poetry, rev. ed. [London 1942]); cf. also Soph. Aj. 194 as understood by J. C. Kamerbeek, The Plays of Sophocles, Commentaries: Part I, The Ajax, tr. by H. Schreuder, rev. by A. Parker (Leiden 1953) 60. On ἀγών: LSJ, s.v. ἀγών II, "assembly of the Greeks at the national games."
- ⁵ G. Hermann, Aeschyli tragoediae (Berlin 1859²) II 409; cf. also the edition of Paley (1870).
- 6 On ἀγώνιος: Soph. Tr. 26, Aj. 194 (see LSJ, s.v. ἀγώνιος 2, "either pause from battle, or strenuous rest (oxymoron, cf. Sch.);" cf. also R. C. Jebb, Sophocles: The Plays and Fragments, Part VII, The Ajax [Cambridge 1896] 41, and Kamerbeek [above, note 4] 60). On ἀγών: LSJ, s.v. ἀγών III 2, "battle, action;" ἀγών = "battle, fighting" at Aesch. Pers. 405, Agam. 1377, Cho. 584, 729, Eum. 914.

ἀγοραίους. Wilamowitz interpreted *ἀγοραίους* narrowly as "(gods) of the marketplace," as is clear from his translation of 512–13: "und euch auch grüss' ich alle, die ihr rings den markt beschützend thront." Denniston-Page interpret the comment in the scholia in a more general way, "gods of the Assembly." 8

Eduard Fraenkel provides the most detailed discussion of the phrase. Fraenkel considers "gods of the gathering" possible here, but prefers an interpretation previously suggested by Wecklein, "gods in assembly," partially on the grounds that $\partial \gamma \omega \nu i \omega i$ probably means the same in this place as it does in the Suppliants, where there is no question of a market or gathering of people. The more important consideration, however, is the decisive reason Franekel has for adopting the interpretation "gods in assembly," namely, that an adequate explanation of the phrase must show how $\partial \gamma \omega \nu i \partial v \delta$ fits into the context of the herald's speech. Fraenkel points out that Aeschylus is here following a common formula of prayer: the herald, after addressing some of the gods by name, mentions the rest in a general phrase, so as not to offend any through omission.

Fraenkel is certainly correct about the prayer form used by the herald, but he fails to see that this in no way calls for the interpretation of $d\gamma\omega\nuio\nu s$ $\theta\epsilon\sigma\dot{\nu}s$ as "gods in assembly;" it makes no difference whether the gods are assembled or not, just that none are omitted from the prayer. The word $\pi\dot{\alpha}\nu\tau as$ in 518 fulfills this function perfectly.¹¹ In order to determine the correct meaning of $d\gamma\omega\nuio\nu s$ $\theta\epsilon\sigma\dot{\nu}s$ one must

⁷ U. von Wilamowitz-Moellendorff, Aischylos: Agamemnon (Berlin 1885) 35.

⁸ J. D. Denniston and D. Page, *Aeschylus: Agamemnon* (Oxford 1957) 119. This interpretation also appears in the editions of Headlam-Pearson (1910), Smyth (1922), Thomson (1966), and in the commentary by Rose (1958). Fraenkel (above, note 1) traces both "market-gods" and "gods of the assembly" to the influence of O. Mueller's *Anhang zu Aesch. Eum.*, p. 38.

⁹ Fraenkel (above, note 1) 260-63.

¹⁰ N. Wecklein, Äschylos Orestie (Leipzig 1888) 67. This interpretation is also ancient, see Schol. F on Agam. 513: τοὺς ἄμα ἐνὶ τόπω ἱδρυμένους. Editors adopting it include Schneider (1839), Verrall (1904), Grooneboom (1944), and Untersteiner (1946). See also G. Italie-S. Radt, Index Aeschyleus (Leiden 1964²) s.v. ἀγώνιος, "in coetum coniuncti (de Dis consentibus);" for LSJ, see note 3 above. (There is, of course, no reason to suppose that ἀγώνιοι θεοί in the Agam. and Supp. has the same meaning, if context demands otherwise.)

¹¹ Cf. Fraenkel's (above, note 1) 262 remark: "Ag. 513 approximates still more closely to the common formula of prayers with the πάνταs in τούs τ' ἀγωνίουs θεοὺς πάντας."

look more closely at the context in which the phrase occurs (509-17):

ὕπατός τε χώρας Ζεὺς ὁ Πύθιός τ' ἄναξ, τόξοις ἰάπτων μηκέτ' εἰς ἡμᾶς βέλη· ἄλις παρὰ Σκάμανδρον ἦσθ' ἀνάρσιος· νῦν δ' αὖτε σωτὴρ ἴσθι καὶ παιώνιος, ἄναξ "Απολλον· τούς τ' ἀγωνίους θεοὺς πάντας προσαυδῶ τόν τ' ἐμὸν τιμάορον 'Ερμῆν, φίλον κήρυκα, κηρύκων σέβας, ἤρως τε τοὺς πέμψαντας, εὐμενεῖς πάλιν στρατὸν δέχεσθαι τὸν λελειμμένον δορός.

After Zeus, Apollo is invoked with direct reference to his activity in the Trojan War; three lines, in fact, are devoted to the request that he become friendly after his former hostility. It is at this point that the herald calls upon $\tau o \dot{v} \dot{s} \, \dot{a} \gamma \omega \nu i o v \dot{s} \, \theta \epsilon o \dot{v} \dot{s} \, / \, \pi \dot{a} \nu \tau a s$. This immediate juxtaposition suggests that $\dot{a} \gamma \omega \nu i o v \dot{s} \, \theta \epsilon o \dot{v} \dot{s} \, / \, \pi \dot{a} \nu \tau a s$ refers to all the other gods who took part in the Trojan War; the herald does not want to omit any of the gods who, like Zeus and Apollo, took part in that conflict. This interpretation is confirmed by the rest of the prayer. After calling upon the $\dot{a} \gamma \omega \nu i o v \dot{s} \, \theta \epsilon o \dot{v} \dot{s}$, the herald singles out Hermes, his own patron, who also took part in the war. Finally, in order not to pass over any of the gods relevant to his present situation, the herald mentions those local deities (516–17) who did not take part in the fighting at Troy.

The prayer formula cited by Fraenkel, then, does not support Wecklein's interpretation, "gods in assembly," as Fraenkel maintains, but rather indicates that $\partial \gamma \omega \nu i o \nu s$ means "gods of the battle, fighting," a meaning already suggested in part by Hermann. However, one cannot restrict the phrase, as Hermann did, only to those gods who gave victory, but rather must refer it to all the gods who were involved in the war, as the three line invocation of Apollo preceding the phrase shows.

Aesch. Supp. 189, 242, 333, 355. The $d\gamma\omega\nu\omega\omega$ $\theta\epsilon\omega$ in the Suppliants belong to the scenery of the play; they are representations of gods

¹² Fraenkel (above, note 1) 262–63 rightly remarks that "Conscientious commentators have observed that τόν τ ' ἐμὸν τιμάορον Έρμ $\hat{\eta}$ ν must be taken as forming part of what has preceded it . . ."

located at an altar on the stage. The characters in the drama refer to them on four occasions:

- Δα. ἄμεινόν ἐστι παντὸς οὕνεκ', ὧ κόραι, πάγον προσίζειν τόνδ' ἀγωνίων θεῶν. κρεῖσσον δὲ πύργου βωμός, ἄρρηκτον σάκος. (188–90)
- Βα. κλάδοι γε μεν δη κατά νόμους ἀφικτόρων κεῖνται παρ' ὑμῶν πρὸς θεοῖς ἀγωνίοις (241-42)
- Βα. τί φὴς ἱκνεῖσθαι τῶνδ' ἀγωνίων θεῶν λευκοστεφεῖς ἔχουσα νεοδρέπτους κλάδους; (333-34)
- Ba. δρῶ κλάδοισι νεοδρόποις κατάσκιον νεύονθ' ὅμιλον τόνδ' ἀγωνίων θεῶν. (354-55)

Stanley and some later editors, citing the comment in Hesychius quoted above, understood $\partial \gamma \omega \nu i \omega i$ as "gods of the games." Fraenkel follows Wecklein in understanding the phrase here, as well as at *Agam*. 513, in the sense "gods in assembly." ¹⁴ *LSJ* consider both meanings possible. ¹⁵

There is very little in the context of the four occurrences to indicate which meaning is most suitable. With respect to the immediate verbal context, a slight redundancy is produced by the meaning "gods in assembly" in 355, $\delta\mu\lambda \delta\nu \tau \delta\nu \delta$ $d\gamma\omega\nu \ell\omega\nu \theta\epsilon \hat{\omega}\nu$, "this assembly of assembled gods;" this is an argument, although not a decisive one, against this meaning. Nor does the situational context suggest any preference of meaning. The scene of the Suppliants is a lonely uninhabited place outside Argos. This rules out either "market gods" or "gods of the assembly," as Fraenkel notes. Otherwise the place is characterized by Aeschylus simply as a holy place or place of worship, and nothing else. One of the chief ways in which the Greeks worshipped their gods was by the celebration of games in their honor, and so the epithet $d\gamma\omega\nu\omega\iota$, "of the games," is most appropriate as simply

¹³ Stanley is quoted in Butler (above, note 3) II 111-12. This interpretation is adopted in the editions of Porson (1794), Schütz (1809), Paley (1870), and Tucker (1889).

¹⁴ Fraenkel (above, note I) 261; N. Wecklein, *Die Schutzstehenden* (Leipzig 1902) 43 (this comment is virtually the same as the one he makes on *Agam*. 513 [above, note 10]). Other editors adopting this interpretation include Smyth (1922) and Untersteiner (1946); Rose (1957) adopts it in his commentary. Cf. Italie-Radt (above, note 10).

¹⁵ See note 3 above.

another way to characterize the place as holy. The interpretation of $\partial \gamma \omega \nu i \omega \theta \epsilon o l$ as "gods in assembly," however, would also fit the situational context, inasmuch as there are a number of gods assembled together. To

It is impossible, then, to distinguish between the two proposed meanings of $\partial \gamma \omega \nu i \partial \theta e o i$ by means of context. Clearly, when a word may have either a current or an archaic meaning, the hearer (or reader) of the word will give it the meaning most familiar to him; he will only turn to an archaic or rare meaning, if a current meaning makes no sense to him. One must understand, therefore, the phrase $\partial \gamma \omega \nu i \partial \theta e o i$ as Aeschylus' audience would have, "gods of the games."

Άγώναρχος

The scholium cited above in the discussion of ἀγώνιοι θεοί, Schol. T (Eust.) on Hom. Il. 24.1, mentions a compound ἀγώναρχος, which is said to demonstrate that ἀγών meant ἀγορά in the Boeotian dialect: π αρὰ δὲ Bοιωτοῖς ἀγὼν π αλαιοὺς ἡ ἀγορά· καὶ τὸν ἀγορανόμον ἀγώναρχον (ἀγῶν' ἀρχόν MS, ἀγωνάρχην Eust.) καλοῦσιν.

Before 1884, there was no ancient evidence available either to confirm or deny the truth of this assertion. The only occurrence of the word was in Attic, and it meant "those in charge of a contest," a meaning derived from the common fifth-century meaning of $\dot{a}\gamma\dot{\omega}\nu$, "games, contest(s)." ¹⁸

- ¹⁶ Cf. H. J. Rose, "The Greek Agones," Aberystwyth Studies 3 (1922) 17-20; E. N. Gardiner, Olympia: Its History and Remains (Oxford 1925) 67-68, and Athletics of the Ancient World (Oxford 1930) 32-33.
- ¹⁷ The passages cited by Wecklein (above, note 14) 43 and Fraenkel (above, note 1) 261 referring to an "assembly of gods" in the Suppliants (κοινοβωμία at 222) and elsewhere in Aeschylus (ξυντέλεια at Sept. 251, θεῶν / ἄδε πανάγυρις at Sept. 219–20) have no relevance to the interpretation of ἀγώνιοι θεοί here, since the gods may be both assembled and worshipped with games.
- 18 The first declension form ἀγωνάρχαι occurs in Soph. Aj., when Ajax gives instructions to the chorus about the disposition of his arms (572–73): καὶ τἀμὰ τεύχη μήτ ἀγωνάρχαι τινὲς / θήσουσ' Άχαιοῖς μήτε λυμεὼν ἐμός. The meaning of ἀγωνάρχαι here is "those in charge of a contest," namely, the funeral contest Ajax imagines might be held for his arms. This is the ancient explanation of the word; the Schol. simply comments ἀγωνοθέται, and the Suda quotes Aj. 572–73 after the entry: ἀγωνάρχαι ἀγωνοθέται, ἄρχοντες τῶν ἀγώνων (as in the ed. of Bekker [Berlin 1854] 21; these words are missing from Adler's ed.). LSJ s.v. ἀγχνάρχης I, "judge of a contest," is slightly inaccurate.

In 1884, a short inscription from Thespiae (III/II B.C.) containing the word $d\gamma \omega \nu a \rho \chi v$ was published by P. Foucart (= IG VII 1817):¹⁹

Τὺ ἀγώναρχυ τὺ ἐπὶ
Πολέ[α]ο ἄρχοντος,
.....ί[ω]νος,
[.....Δι]ογνείτω
.....ίσκω,
[.....ο]ς

Foucart, citing the comment in Eust. (Schol. T) on Hom. Il. 24.1, understood $\partial \gamma \dot{\omega} \nu a \rho \chi v$ as officers with duties similar to those of agoranomes in other cities. This identification of the two magistracies has been generally accepted, usually with the additional comment that $\partial \gamma \dot{\omega} \nu = \partial \gamma o \rho \dot{\alpha}$, "assembly" or "market." ²⁰

The fact that Eust. (Schol. T) says $\pi a \rho \hat{a}$ $Boi\omega \tau o \hat{i}s$, and that this inscription is from Boeotia, has no doubt led to the acceptance of Foucart's conjecture. Nevertheless, the inscription itself offers no evidence whatsoever that the $\hat{a}\gamma\dot{\omega}\nu a\rho\chi v$ were similar to the agoranomes among the Boeotians; it contains only a list of officers named $\hat{a}\gamma\dot{\omega}\nu a\rho\chi v$ for a certain year, $\hat{\epsilon}\pi\hat{i}$ $\Pi o\lambda \hat{\epsilon}[a]o$ $\check{a}\rho\chi o\nu \tau os$. Thus, the inscription merely confirms that agonarchs did exist in Boeotia; the identification of agonarch and agoranome was still entirely dependent on the late evidence of Eust. (Schol. T) on Hom. Il. 24.1.

In 1935, another Thespian inscription (III B.C.) containing three occurrences of ἀγώναρχος was first published.²¹ P. Roesch

¹⁹ P. Foucart, BCH 8 (1884) 414–15; cf. BCH 9 (1885) 408. SEG XXIII 275 dates this inscription (doubtfully) to a little after III B.C.; E. Schwyzer, Dialectorum Graecarum exempla epigraphica potiora (Leipzig 1923) No. 550g (p. 266) to III/II B.C.

²⁰ The two magistracies are identified by Schwyzer (above, note 19) No. 550g (p. 266). Identification of the magistracies is considered as evidence for $\mathring{a}\gamma \acute{\omega}\nu = \mathring{a}\gamma o\rho \acute{a}$ in Boeotian by F. Bechtel, Die griechischen Dialecte I (Berlin 1921) 303; A. Thumb and A. Scherer, Handbuch der griechischen Dialekte II (Heidelberg 1959) No. 238e (p. 44). Most scholars who define $\mathring{a}\gamma o\rho \acute{a}$ give it the meaning "assembly:" Reisch in RE I (1894) 836; LSJ s.v. $\mathring{a}\gamma \omega \acute{a}\gamma \omega \acute{a}\gamma \sigma \acute{a}\gamma \acute{a}\gamma \omega$. Fraenkel (above, note 1) 260 thinks $\mathring{a}\gamma o\rho \acute{a}$ means "market." R. Meister in GDI No. 812a (I, p. 403) disagrees with the usual interpretation of $\mathring{a}\gamma \acute{\omega}\nu a\rho \chi v$; he thinks they "= Agonotheten."

²¹ By A. D. Keramopoullos, $A\rho\chi$. $\Delta\epsilon\lambda\tau$. 14 (1931–1932) 28–40 and Pl. IV and IVa, who dated it to III B.C. Roesch (see following note) 18–19 dates it to not before 220/215 B.C. and not after 210/208 B.C., which is accepted at SEG XXIII 271.

re-examined the stone in 1960, and has offered a new transcription in a book which is virtually an extended commentary on it.²² The inscription consists of a record of the titles and names of Thespian magistrates for two consecutive years. A single agonarch is mentioned at the beginning of each year's list (3-4, 68):

Three agonarchs are mentioned near the end of the first year's list along with a secretary (51-54):

ἀγώναρχυ νας. "Αρχιππος Τίμωνος, Εὐμάρων Εὐφάσιος, Εύρεισίας Καραιογίτω· νας. γραμματιστὰς Άγαθοκλεῖς Διονο[υ]σίω

Neither year offers a complete list of magistrates; the first (1–60) lacks the earlier ones, the second (61–86) breaks off in the middle. From both, however, a complete list of magistrates can be drawn up, since missing titles of the first year can be supplied from the second, and vice versa. The Thespian magistrates of the combined list fall into definite groups in such a way that Roesch is able to organize them into a "Tableau des Magistrats de Thespies." The single agonarch appears among "Magistrats fédéraux élus par la cité" (1–3, 65–68); the college of three agonarchs and their secretary, among city magistrates concerned with "Sports et fêtes" (45–53).²³

These occurrences of $\partial \gamma \dot{\omega} \nu \alpha \rho \chi os$, then, are provided with a very definite context. Since the college of three agonarchs are concerned with sports and contests, i.e., $\partial \gamma \dot{\omega} \nu \epsilon s$, it is only reasonable to conclude that, whatever their exact duties, the $\partial \gamma \dot{\omega} \nu$ in the name means "games, contest(s)." The single agonarch is a federal officer; on the analogy of the city agonarchs, it can be conjectured that he too was concerned with sports and contests. He certainly cannot have been an agoranome in

²² P. Roesch, *Thespies et la confédération béotienne* (Paris 1965); the inscription appears on pp. 4–11. Roesch's version was subsequently published at SEG XXIII 271.

²³ Roesch (above, note 22) 22–24. The other magistrates in this group are two παιδονόμυ (line 45), two γουνηκονόμυ (47), three γουμνασίαρχυ of the old (48) and three of the young (50).

any ordinary sense of the word, as Roesch notes, since at this time the federation had no market, and no need for agoranomes, who are usually concerned with regulating prices, provisioning the market, and so forth.²⁴

Άγωνιστήριον

If one reviews the words formed from ἀγών in LSJ, one discovers one other derivative which is said to contain the meaning "assembly." LSJ define the word ἀγωνιστήριον as "place of assembly;" the only citation for the word is the Panathenaicus of Aristides, 1.108 Jebb.²⁵ James H. Oliver may have relied on LSJ in his recent translation of the

²⁴ Nevertheless, Roesch still attempts to rely on the comment on ἀγώναρχος in Schol. T and Eust. to Hom. Il. 24.1. In his explanation (141-45) of the federal agonarch (he says very little about the city agonarchs, see pp. 143, 226), Roesch says that the other cities of the federation also appointed agonarchs, and that they corresponded to agoranomes, not to ordinary agoranomes, but to "agoranomes de panégyries, chargés de contrôler les panégyries et d'y faire régner l'ordre,"-i.e., the agonarchs corresponded to agoranomes only in the case of specialized agoranomes who are concerned with $d\gamma\hat{\omega}\nu\epsilon s$. This limits the equation $\dot{a}\gamma\dot{\omega}\nu a\rho\chi o_S = \dot{a}\gamma o_\rho a\nu\dot{o}\mu o_S$ severely, and certainly cannot allow one to conclude from it that $\dot{\alpha}\gamma\dot{\omega}\nu = \dot{\alpha}\gamma\rho\rho\dot{\alpha}$ among the Boeotians. At most, Roesch's explanation, if one accepts it, shows only how the mistake in Schol. T (Eust.) was generated. Keramopoullos (above, note 21), also relying on Schol. T and Eust. on Hom. Il. 24.1 (he cites the irrelevant IG VII 1817 as well), thought (p. 29) that the \vec{a} yώναρχος of lines 3 and $68 = \vec{a}$ γορανόμος, but defined (35) the duties of the \vec{a} γώναρχυ in lines 51-54 in accordance with those of the magistrates which precede them: supervision of the choral $\partial \hat{\gamma} \hat{\omega} \hat{\nu} \in \hat{\gamma}$ and the $\hat{\epsilon} \hat{\nu} \hat{\rho} \hat{\tau} \hat{\omega}$ and $\hat{\theta} \hat{\nu} \hat{\sigma} \hat{\omega}$ of the women and young men. M. Feyel, Polybe et l'histoire de Béotie au IIIe siècle avant notre ère (Paris 1942) 267, thought that all ἀγώναρχυ=ἀγορανόμοι. LSJ Suppl., s.v. ἀγώναρχος, citing this inscription, say simply "= $\dot{a}\gamma\omega\nu\dot{a}\rho\chi\eta s$," without specifying the meaning under this entry, I or II (see above, notes 18 and 20).

25 This occurrence in Aristides is apparently the only one in a classical author; the word occurs later, however, in the church fathers, with the meanings "I. place of contest, palaestra," and "2. proving-ground," according to G. W. H. Lampe and others, A Patristic Greek Lexicon, Fasc. I (Oxford 1961) s.v. ἀγωνιστήριον. The adjective ἀγωνιστήριοs is defined by LSJ as "= ἀγωνιστικός," which has meanings associated with ἀγών, "games, contest(s)."

Panathenaicus where he gives ἀγωνιστήριον the meaning "assembly place."²⁶

The ancient authorities are in disagreement with this definition. Hesychius defines ἀγωνιστήριον as τόπος, ἐν ῷ ἀγωνίζονται (cf. Etym. Magn.), and the scholium to this line comments simply δικαιοσύνης.²⁷ These comments indicate meanings of ἀγωνιστήριον which are consistent with the common meanings of ἀγών, "contest" and "trial."²⁸

The issue can only be settled by turning to the context of the occurrence. The following is a very condensed version of *Panathenaicus* 106–08 Jebb:

Two of the greatest gods contended for Athens, Athena and Poseidon; Athena won, but both conferred benefits on the city. Not only did gods contend for the city, they contended in it: Poseidon prosecuted Ares here for the murder of his son, and as a consequence the Areopagus got its name. There is nothing superior to the Areopagus; it sends up clear knowledge of the just as if a kind of mantic exhalation and all people yield to the judgements made here. καὶ μεταβολὴ τοῦ χωρίου τούτου μόνου ἤδη σχεδὸν οὐχ ήψατο, οἶα δὴ τὰ ἀνθρώπινα, ἀλλὶ ὥσπερ ἀγωνιστήριον τοῖς θεοῖς ἀνεῖται καὶ οἷς ἐξ ἐκείνου καθήκει καὶ πάντες παράδειγμα δικαιοσύνης νομίζοντες οὕτωτιμῶσιν αἰδοῖ τῶν θεῶν. Another famous trial which took place here was the judicial contest between Orestes and the Furies.

ἀγωνιστήριον occurs in a distinctly judicial environment: (1) mention of the prosecution of Ares by Poseidon, (2) praise of the Areopagus as the supreme place of judgment, (3) ἀγωνιστήριον, (4) the words πάντες παράδειγμα δικαιοσύνης νομίζοντες, (5) mention of the trial of Orestes. 29 It is clear from this that ἀγωνιστήριον must mean primarily "place of judicial contest, trial." 30 Since the judicial contest between

²⁶ James H. Oliver, The Civilizing Power: A Study of the Panathenaic Discourse of Aelius Aristides against the Background of Literature and Cultural Conflict, with Text, Translation and Commentary, TAPhS, N.S. 58.1 (Philadelphia 1968) p. 51, sect. 43.

²⁷ The definition of Hesychius is quoted by H. Stephanus, *Thesaurus Graecae linguae*, ed. C. B. Hase and others (Paris 1831) I 605B; cf. also the translation of Reiske (below, note 30).

²⁸ See LSJ, s.v. ἀγών II, sub-heading "of contests in general;" III 1, "generally, struggle," and 3, "action at law, trial."

²⁹ Words formed from $d\gamma \dot{\omega}\nu$ occur in the description of the trial of Orestes: $d\gamma \omega\nu\iota \sigma\tau a\hat{\imath}s$ = "the adjudicants (Orestes and the Furies)," $d\gamma \omega\nu\iota \zeta \epsilon\tau a\iota$ = "he (Orestes) contended at law."

³⁰ Oliver (above, note 26) 51 translates ἀλλ' ὥσπερ ἀγωνιστήριον τοῖς θεοῖς ἀνεῖται:

Ares and Poseidon is given as an example of a contest in the city as contrasted to one for the city, Aristides may have coined $\dot{\alpha}\gamma\omega\nu\iota\sigma\tau\dot{\eta}\rho\iota\sigma\nu$ for the more usual $\delta\iota\kappa\alpha\sigma\tau\dot{\eta}\rho\iota\sigma\nu$, as a kind of word play involving another meaning of $\dot{\alpha}\gamma\dot{\omega}\nu$, "contention, conflict." In any case, it is impossible to detect any residue of the archaic meaning of $\dot{\alpha}\gamma\dot{\omega}\nu$, "assembly," in $\dot{\alpha}\gamma\omega\nu\iota\sigma\tau\dot{\eta}\rho\iota\sigma\nu$.

Conclusion. The three words— $\vec{a}\gamma \omega \nu \iota os$, $\vec{a}\gamma \omega \nu a\rho \chi os$, $\vec{a}\gamma \omega \nu \iota o\tau \eta \rho \iota ov$ —believed to embody an archaic meaning of $\vec{a}\gamma \omega \nu$, "assembly, gathering," have been examined in the light of all that is known about the context in which they occur. In each instance, it has been shown that a meaning which is related to some contemporaneous meaning of $\vec{a}\gamma \omega \nu$ is appropriate to the derived or compounded word. Hence, there is no need to assume that the word in question reflects some archaic meaning of $\vec{a}\gamma \omega \nu$.

Two causes seem to have led to the hypothesis of an archaic usage: (1) excessive dependence on ancient commentators and lexicographers, (2) the attraction—for scholars—of the obscure and rare as opposed to the obvious and commonplace. Neither of these considerations, however, can take precedence over contextual evidence, for, to paraphrase Bentley, "nobis et ratio et res ipsa centum auctoribus potiores sint."

[&]quot;It has been left as an assembly place for the gods." I think, rather, that the phrase means something like "it has been consecrated to/by the gods as a place of judicial contest, trial" (for this meaning of $d\nu\epsilon i\tau a\iota$, see LSJ, s.v. $d\nu i\eta\mu\iota$ II 6); cf. J. J. Reiske, Animadversiones ad Graecos auctores III (Leipzig 1761) 237: "consecratus est his locus diis ut forum, in quo suas illi causas agitarent." Cf. also the description of the Areopagus at Eur. El. 1262-63 as a place $i\nu$ $\epsilon i \sigma \epsilon f \epsilon \sigma \tau i \tau / \psi \eta \phi$ $\epsilon f \epsilon f a \epsilon i \tau / \epsilon \sigma \tau i \tau / \epsilon \sigma \tau i \tau / \theta \epsilon o i \epsilon$, which also occurs after mention of the prosecution of Ares by Poseidon.

³¹ Lysias 1.30: τῷ δικαστηρίῳ, τῷ ἐξ Ἀρείου πάγου, 6.14: ἐν Ἀρείῳ πάγῳ ἐν τῷ σεμνοτάτῳ καὶ δικαιστάτῳ δικαστηρίῳ, Dem. 23.65: τὸ ἐν Ἀρείῳ πάγῳ δικαστήριον; cf. also the definition of "Αρείος πάγος in the Suda: δικαστήριον Αθήνησιν and Hesychius: ἐν Ἀθήναις δικαστήριον ἐν τῇ ἀκροπόλει.